UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,700	06/23/2003	Yu-Chen Chuang	681939-52US 5739	
	7590 03/04/200 P - Silicon Valley	EXAMINER		
3000 El Camino	o Real	RAMPURIA, SATISH		
Palo Alto, CA 9	Square, Suite 400 94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/600,700		CHUANG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		SATISH S. RAM	PURIA	2191				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specifier - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLER, FROM THE MAILING D able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. d d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	J. hely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u>	lovember 2007						
2a) This action is FIN	` '	s action is non-fin	al					
/ <u>—</u>	<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ico martiro praetico ariadi 1	_x parto quayro,	1000 0.2. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims								
	e pending in the application							
4a) Of the above c	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/ar	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/	are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are	e subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examine	er.						
•	•		jected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ite				

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on 11/26/2007.

2. New claims added by the Applicants: 24-25

3. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee

set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since

this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the

fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous

Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's

submission filed on 11/26/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2191

Claims 1-9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that step (d) determining... said plurality of user terminals unselected in step (b). However, step (b) recites that *selecting* one of said plurality of user terminals, and step (d) is referring to plurality of user terminals *unselected*.

Further, step (d) recites determining from the list which of said plurality user terminals unselected in step (b). However, step (b) does not recite any list generated with unselected user terminals. Since step (c) recites that a list is requested from the system server for updated software versions, it not clear that the list is for updated software version or for the unselected user terminals as recited in step (d). For examination purposes Examiner has interpreted as a list is for updated software versions.

Claims 2-9 and 24 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, therefore suffer the same deficiency as claim 1.

Clarification and/or correction are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 4

6. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication No. 2004/0015953 to Vincent (hereinafter, Vincent).

Per claim 1:

Vincent discloses:

- 1. A method of software upgrade control for a system server and a sub-network
- including a plurality of user terminals, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said system server with updated software versions (FIG. 6, elements 160-
- 162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to
- provide updated software components");
- (b) selecting one of said plurality of user terminals (FIG. 6, element user computer 110);
- (c) requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions

(paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses

the required component list included... comparing the component information and the

version information with information identifying the software components and versions

thereof currently installed at user computer") that are

absent in said selected one of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0014] "The

Art Unit: 2191

computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified network location or locations");

(d) determining from the list which of said plurality of user terminals unselected (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)" and paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines (i.e., from the component list) which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained") in step (b) include any of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions), the user computer performs acts to determine network locations from which it can download the required software components"); (e) receiving from said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals said absent updated software versions determined in step (d) to have been included in said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0064] "User computer 110 examines the update table received from software server 160 and... extracts the locations of the needed components, which include components D and E (i.e., the required

components not yet installed at user computer 110) and component B (i.e., the component

having a required version not yet installed at user computer 110)");

Page 6

(f) receiving from said server those of said absent updated software versions determined (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164") in step (d) to have not been included in said unselected ones of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)"); and

(g) upgrading said selected one of said user terminals with said received absent updated software versions (paragraph [0065] "The user computer 110 installs and configures the required software components when the required software components are received").

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of broadcasting an inquiry in said sub-network (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network (i.e., sub-network)") in determining whether said unselected user terminals include any of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700

Art Unit: 2191

determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained").

Page 7

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

3. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of registering at said system server

after requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions that

are absent in said selected user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then

requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from

software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from

the computer 164").

Although, Vincent discloses updating software on a user computer from

network locations or network computers. Vincent is silent on registering updated

software. However, the feature of registering the updated software deemed to be

inherent to Vincent system. Since Vincent discloses downloading the requested

software updates by the user computer from network locations (paragraph [0063]).

Vincent's system would be in inoperative if the system does not register the

updated software.

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

4. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of providing an agent in said selected user terminal in requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0014] "The computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified network location or locations") Note that an agent which requests the absent software is inherent to Vincent, since Vincent's system getting the component not installed on user computer form the network locations.

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

5. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: receiving an inquiry broadcast by said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network (Note that the inquiry is made to unselected terminal to determine the available components see paragraph [0051]"); and transmitting one of said updated software versions in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "...components A, B, C and E are available from software server 160... component D is available from another computer associated with computer network 116, namely, computer 164. User computer 110 examines the update table received from software server 160 and extracts the locations of the needed components").

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

7. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: providing said selected user terminal with a first client-server structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) and a second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164); and providing a client in said first client-server structure for requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions

Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

thereof currently installed at user computer").

8. The method of claim 7 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first server for receiving an inquiry broadcast by said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network"); and providing said second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164) with a second server for transmitting one of said updated software versions to one of said unselected user terminals in response to said

Art Unit: 2191

inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals).

Per claim 9:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

9. The method of claim 7 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first client for requesting one of said absent updated software versions from said unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components"); and providing said second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164) with a second client for requesting one of said absent updated software versions from said system server (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

Per claim 10:

Art Unit: 2191

Vincent discloses:

10. A software upgrade control system comprising:

a system server (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);

a plurality of updated software versions provided at said system server (FIG. 6, elements 160-162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to provide updated software components");

a sub-network (FIG. 2) including a first user terminal (FIG. 2, element 110) and a second user terminal (FIG.2, element 114), each user terminal including a first clientserver structure and a second client-server structure (FIG. 3, element 110a and 110b); a client provided in said first client-server structure of said first user terminal for requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer") and also for broadcasting an inquiry to the second user terminal (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") to determine whether the second user terminal has at least one of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions

(i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals);

Page 12

a first server provided in said first client-server structure of said second user terminal for receiving the inquiry broadcast by the first user terminal (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components");

a second server provided in said second client-server structure of said second user terminal for transmitting one of said updated software versions to said first user terminal in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals);

a first client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said second user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164"); and

Art Unit: 2191

a second client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal

for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said system server

(paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e.,

absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required

version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

Per claim 11:

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent provided in said selected user

terminal in requesting said list of said absent updated software versions. The

limitations in the claims are similar to those in claim 4, and rejected under the same

rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4.

Per claim 13:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

13. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports a file transfer protocol

(paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on

another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same computer").

Per claim 14:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

14. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports a file transfer assistant

protocol (paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared

file on another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same

computer").

Per claim 15:

15. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent in each of said user terminals

for data communication between said first client-server structure and said second client-

server structure. The limitations in the claims are similar to those in claim 4, and

rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim

4.

Per claim 16:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

16. The system of claim 10 further comprising a local area network over which said

system server communicates with each of said user terminals (paragraph [0040] "FIG. 1

include a local area network (LAN) 51 and a wide area network (WAN)").

Per claim 17:

Vincent discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

17. A software upgrade control system, comprising:

a system server that provides updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);

a plurality of user terminals grouped to form a sub-network (FIG. 2);

a first client-server structure formed in each of said user terminals to request from said system server a list of updated software versions that are absent (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer"), broadcast in said sub-network an inquiry (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") as to whether any other user terminals have any updated software versions absent therein, and receive an inquiry broadcast by said other user terminals (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer");

a second client-server structure formed in each of said user terminals to transmit one of said updated software versions to one of said other user terminals (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software

Art Unit: 2191

components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals), receive an absent updated software version from one of said other user terminals having said absent updated software version, and receive an absent updated software version from said system server if no other user terminals has said absent updated software version (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components"); and an agent formed in each of said user terminals for data communication between said first and second client-server structures (Vincent discloses updating software in networking environment, a communication agent must be present in Vincent system to perform the software update remotely. Therefore, a communication agent would be

Claims 19 and 21 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 13 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 13, above, as noted above.

Per claim 22:

inherent to Vincent system).

The rejection of claim 17 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

a first storage for storing said updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162,

164).

Per claim 23:

The rejection of claim 22 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

a second storage for storing said updated software versions that are unzipped

(paragraph [0061] "comparing the component information and the version information with

information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed

at user computer"). Note that Vincent compares the installed versions with the updated

version in order to upgrade the software. In order for to compare the installed software

versions the upgrade version must be unzipped.

Per claim 24:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

24. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein said absent updated software versions

determined in step(d) to have not been included in said unselected user terminals are

received only after receiving from said unselected user terminals those of said absent

updated software versions determined in step (d) to have been included in said

unselected user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated

may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may

Art Unit: 2191

be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Vincent in view of US Publication No. 2003/0074403 to Harrow et al.

(hereinafter, Harrow).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further. Vincent does not explicitly disclose

wherein said selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval.

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said

selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval (paragraph

[0036] "The directory server 402 may also have an expiration timeout feature. That is,

after say 10 minutes, the directory server 402 may direct a client to retrieve a copy of

the file from the web server 422").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said selected

Art Unit: 2191

user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval as taught by Harrow into the method of updating software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval to provide the current updates to client as suggested by Harrow (paragraph [0036]).

Per claim 25:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose, wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol.

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol (paragraph [0026] "The communication 314 between Client A 304-A and Client D 304-D is a peer-to-peer communication").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol as taught by Harrow into the method of updating software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use P2P protocol in upgrading software version of user terminal to provide a peer-to-peer software upgrading to lower the network traffic as suggested by Harrow (paragraph [0004]).

Art Unit: 2191

Claims 12, 18, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Vincent in view of US Patent No. 7,228,539 to Zhang et al. (hereinafter,

Zhang).

Per claim 12:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose

system server supports an interversion protocol.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system server support an interversion

protocol (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the

messages, or the inter-server communication protocol, provided for in each version of the

software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of supporting an interversion

protocol as taught by Zhang. The modification would be obvious because of one of

ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to support an interversion protocol to provide

to reduce the downtime during the update procedure as suggested by Zhang (col. 2,

lines 28-37).

Claims 18 and 20 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 12 and

rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims

12, above, as noted above.

Art Unit: 2191

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Satish S. Rampuria** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3732**. The examiner can normally be reached on **8:30 am to 5:00 pm** Monday to Friday except every other Friday and Wednesday and federal holidays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wei Y. Zhen** can be reached on **(571) 272-3708**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria
Patent Examiner/Software Engineer
Art Unit 2191

/Ted T. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191